UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/808,925 | 03/25/2004 | Hisashi Mogi | 52433/756 | 8039 |
| KENYON & K | 7590 04/09/200 LENYON | 7 | EXAMINER | |
| One Broadway New York, NY 10004 | | | TUYEN T | |
| New York, INY | 10004 | · | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| r | | | 2832 | |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 04/09/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | \mathcal{W} | |
|--|---|---|-----|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/808,925 | MOGI ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | TUYEN T. NGUYEN | 2832 | ÷ |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet wi | h the correspondence address | |
| • • | NIVIO CETTO EVOIDE AM | ONTHICK OF THIRTY (20) DAVE | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB | CATION. sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | |
| | nis action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | vance except for formal matte | ers, prosecution as to the merits is | 6 |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D | . 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 6-9</u> is/are pending in the app | lication. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 6-9</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | l/or election requirement. | • | |
| Application Papers | | • | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | • | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a | | by the Examiner. | • |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | ection is required if the drawing(| s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c | d). |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received in A | oplication No. <u>10/034,061</u> . | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr | · | received in this National Stage | |
| application from the International Bure | , | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a li | st of the certified copies not | 'eceived. | |
| | | · | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | - | | |
| 1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) D Notice of In | formal Patent Application | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/25/2004</u> . | 6) | <u>_</u> . | |

Application/Control Number: 10/808,925

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai et al. [JP 08-250339] in view of Niwa et al. [US 5,063,098].

Arai et al. discloses a grain-oriented electromagnetic steel plate/sheet for forming an iron core for a low noise induction apparatus comprising:

- an electromagnetic steel plate/sheet [a]; and
- a viscoelastic layer [b] disposed on at least one surface of the electromagnetic steel plate/sheet.

Arai et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific thickness of the viscoelastic layer.

Niwa et al. discloses an electrical steel sheet [1] including at least one viscoelastic layer of $30\mu m$ or more to $60 \mu m$ or less in thickness disposed on at least one of the surfaces of the steel sheet, wherein the viscoelastic layer having the loss factor have one or more peeks at temperatures within the range from 20 to 200 degrees Celsius.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the viscoelastic layer design of Niwa et al. for the viscoelastic layer of Arai et al. for the purpose of providing vibration damping effect.

Regarding claims 4, the specific arrangement of the viscoelastic layer relative to the steel sheet would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended applications/environments use for the purpose of reducing noise.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TN IN

Toughe Myright